

# On a quaternionic Maxwell equation for the time-dependent electromagnetic field in a chiral medium

Sergei M. Grudsky\*, Kira V. Khmelnytskaya\*\*,  
Vladislav V. Kravchenko\*\*

\*Dept. of Mathematics

CINVESTAV

National Polytechnic Institute

Mexico City

\*\*Dept. of Telecommunications,

SEPI ESIME Zacatenco

National Polytechnic Institute

Av. IPN S/N, C.P.07738 D.F.

Mexico

February 5, 2008

## Abstract

Maxwell's equations for the time-dependent electromagnetic field in a homogeneous chiral medium are reduced to a single quaternionic equation. Its fundamental solution satisfying the causality principle is obtained which allows us to solve the time-dependent chiral Maxwell system with sources.

## 1 Introduction

We consider Maxwell's equations for the time-dependent electromagnetic field in a homogeneous chiral medium and show their equivalence to a sin-

gle quaternionic equation. This result generalizes the well known (see [13], [6], [10]) quaternionic reformulation of the Maxwell equations for non-chiral media. Nevertheless the new quaternionic differential operator is essentially different from the quaternionic operator corresponding to the non-chiral case. We obtain a fundamental solution of the new operator in explicit form satisfying the causality principle. Its convolution with a quaternionic function representing sources of the electromagnetic field gives us a solution of the inhomogeneous Maxwell system in a whole space.

## 2 Maxwell's equations for chiral media

Consider time-dependent Maxwell's equations

$$\text{rot } \vec{E}(t, x) = -\partial_t \vec{B}(t, x), \quad (1)$$

$$\text{rot } \vec{H}(t, x) = \partial_t \vec{D}(t, x) + \vec{j}(t, x), \quad (2)$$

$$\text{div } \vec{E}(t, x) = \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{div } \vec{H}(t, x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

with the Drude-Born-Fedorov constitutive relations corresponding to the chiral media [2], [11], [12]

$$\vec{B}(t, x) = \mu(\vec{H}(t, x) + \beta \text{rot } \vec{H}(t, x)), \quad (4)$$

$$\vec{D}(t, x) = \varepsilon(\vec{E}(t, x) + \beta \text{rot } \vec{E}(t, x)), \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta$  is the chirality measure of the medium.  $\beta, \varepsilon, \mu$  are real scalars assumed to be constants. Note that the charge density  $\rho$  and the current density  $\vec{j}$  are related by the continuity equation  $\partial_t \rho + \text{div } \vec{j} = 0$ .

Incorporating the constitutive relations (4), (5) into the system (1)-(3) we arrive at the main object of our study, the time-dependent Maxwell system for a homogeneous chiral medium

$$\text{rot } \vec{H}(t, x) = \varepsilon(\partial_t \vec{E}(t, x) + \beta \partial_t \text{rot } \vec{E}(t, x)) + \vec{j}(t, x), \quad (6)$$

$$\text{rot } \vec{E}(t, x) = -\mu(\partial_t \vec{H}(t, x) + \beta \partial_t \text{rot } \vec{H}(t, x)), \quad (7)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{E}(t, x) = \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\varepsilon}, \quad \operatorname{div} \vec{H}(t, x) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Application of  $\operatorname{rot}$  to (6) and (7) allows us to separate the equations for  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{H}$  and to obtain in this way the wave equations for a chiral medium

$$\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \varepsilon \mu \partial_t^2 \vec{E} + 2\beta \varepsilon \mu \partial_t^2 \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \beta^2 \varepsilon \mu \partial_t^2 \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} = -\mu \partial_t \vec{j} - \beta \mu \partial_t \operatorname{rot} \vec{j}, \quad (9)$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \vec{H} + \varepsilon \mu \partial_t^2 \vec{H} + 2\beta \varepsilon \mu \partial_t^2 \operatorname{rot} \vec{H} + \beta^2 \varepsilon \mu \partial_t^2 \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \vec{H} = \operatorname{rot} \vec{j}. \quad (10)$$

It should be noted that when  $\beta = 0$ , (9) and (10) reduce to the wave equations for non-chiral media but in general to the difference of the usual non-chiral wave equations their chiral generalizations represent equations of fourth order.

### 3 Some notations from quaternionic analysis

We will consider biquaternion-valued functions defined in some domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . On the set of continuously differentiable such functions the well known Moisil-Teodoresco operator is defined by the expression  $D = i_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + i_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$  (see, e.g., [5]), where  $i_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$  are basic quaternionic imaginary units. Denote  $D_\alpha = D + \alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \alpha \geq 0$ . The fundamental solution for this operator is known [9] (see also [10]):

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(x) = -\operatorname{grad} \Theta_\alpha(x) + \alpha \Theta_\alpha(x) = \left( \alpha + \frac{x}{|x|^2} - i\alpha \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \Theta_\alpha(x), \quad (11)$$

where  $i$  is the usual complex imaginary unit commuting with  $i_k$ ,  $x = \sum_{k=1}^3 x_k i_k$  and  $\Theta_\alpha(x) = -\frac{e^{i\alpha|x|}}{4\pi|x|}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$  fulfills the following radiation condition at infinity uniformly in all directions

$$\left(1 + \frac{ix}{|x|}\right) \cdot \mathcal{K}_\alpha(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right), \quad \text{when } |x| \rightarrow \infty \quad (12)$$

which is in agreement with the Silver-Müller radiation conditions [8].

## 4 Field equations in quaternionic form

In this section we rewrite the field equations from Section 2 in quaternionic form.

Let us introduce the following quaternionic operator

$$M = \beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t D + \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t - iD \quad (13)$$

and consider the purely vectorial biquaternionic function

$$\vec{V}(t, x) = \vec{E}(t, x) - i\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}\vec{H}(t, x). \quad (14)$$

**Proposition 1** *The quaternionic equation*

$$M\vec{V}(t, x) = -\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}\vec{j}(t, x) - \beta\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}\partial_t\rho(t, x) + \frac{i\rho(t, x)}{\varepsilon} \quad (15)$$

is equivalent to the Maxwell system (6)-(8), the vectors  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{H}$  are solutions of (6)-(8) if and only if the purely vectorial biquaternionic function  $\vec{V}$  defined by (14) is a solution of (15).

**Proof.** The scalar and the vector parts of (15) have the form

$$-\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t \operatorname{div} \vec{E} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div} \vec{H} + i(\operatorname{div} \vec{E} + \beta\mu\partial_t \operatorname{div} \vec{H}) = -\beta\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}\partial_t\rho + \frac{i\rho}{\varepsilon}, \quad (16)$$

$$\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t \vec{E} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{rot} \vec{H} - i(\operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \beta\mu\partial_t \operatorname{rot} \vec{H} + \mu\partial_t \vec{H}) = -\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}\vec{j}. \quad (17)$$

The real part of (17) coincides with (6) and the imaginary part coincides with (7). Applying divergence to the equation (17) and using the continuity equation gives us

$$\partial_t \operatorname{div} \vec{H} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \operatorname{div} \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_t\rho.$$

Taking into account these two equalities we obtain from (16) that the vectors  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{H}$  satisfy equations (8). ■

It should be noted that for  $\beta = 0$  from (13) we obtain the operator which was studied in [7] with the aid of the factorization of the wave operator for non-chiral media

$$\varepsilon\mu\partial_t^2 - \Delta_x = (\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t + iD)(\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t - iD).$$

In the case under consideration we obtain a similar result. Let us denote by  $M^*$  the complex conjugate operator of  $M$ :

$$M^* = \beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t D + \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t + iD.$$

For simplicity we consider now a sourceless situation. In this case the equations (9) and (10) are homogeneous and can be represented as follows

$$MM^*\vec{U}(t, x) = 0,$$

where  $\vec{U}$  stands for  $\vec{E}$  or for  $\vec{H}$ .

## 5 Fundamental solution of the operator $M$

We will construct a fundamental solution of the operator  $M$  using the results of the previous section and well known facts from quaternionic analysis. Consider the equation

$$(\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t D + \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\partial_t - iD)f(t, x) = \delta(t, x).$$

Applying the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to the time-variable  $t$  we obtain

$$(\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}i\omega D + \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}i\omega - iD)F(\omega, x) = \delta(x),$$

where  $F(\omega, x) = \mathcal{F}\{f(t, x)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x)e^{-i\omega t}dt$ . The last equation can be rewritten as follows

$$(D + \alpha)(\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega - 1)iF(\omega, x) = \delta(x),$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega}{\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega - 1}$ . The fundamental solution of  $D_\alpha$  is given by (11), so we have

$$(\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega - 1)iF(\omega, x) = \left(\alpha + \frac{x}{|x|^2} - i\alpha\frac{x}{|x|}\right)\Theta_\alpha(x),$$

from where

$$F(\omega, x) = \left[ \frac{i\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega}{(\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega - 1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{ix}{|x|}\right) + \frac{ix}{|x|^2} \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega - 1} \right] \frac{e^{i|x|\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega}{\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}\omega - 1}}}{4\pi|x|}.$$

We write it in a more convenient form

$$F(\omega, x) = \left( \frac{1}{(\omega - a)^2} A(x) + \frac{1}{\omega - a} B(x) \right) E(x) e^{\frac{ic(x)}{\omega - a}},$$

where  $a = \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}$ ,  $c(x) = \frac{|x|}{\beta^2\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}$ ,  $E(x) = \frac{e^{\frac{i|x|}{\beta}}}{4\pi|x|}$ ,

$$A(x) = \frac{i}{\beta^3\varepsilon\mu} \left(1 - \frac{ix}{|x|}\right), \quad B(x) = \frac{i}{\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{ix}{|x|}\right) + \frac{x}{|x|^2} \right).$$

In order to obtain the fundamental solution  $f(t, x)$  we should apply the inverse Fourier transform to  $F(\omega, x)$ . Among different regularizations of the resulting integral we should choose the one leading to a fundamental solution satisfying the causality principle, that is vanishing for  $t < 0$ . Such an election is done by introducing of a small parameter  $y > 0$  in the following way

$$f(t, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{F(z, x)\} \quad (18)$$

where  $z = \omega - iy$ . This regularization is in agreement with the condition  $\text{Im } \alpha \geq 0$ . We have

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \{F(z, x)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(\omega - a_y)^2} A(x) + \frac{1}{\omega - a_y} B(x) \right) E(x) e^{\frac{ic(x)}{\omega - a_y}} e^{i\omega t} d\omega \quad (19)$$

where  $a_y = a + iy$ . Expression (19) includes two integrals of the form

$$I_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{ic}{\omega - a_y}} e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega - a_y)^k} d\omega, \quad k = 1, 2$$

where  $c = c(x)$ . We have

$$I_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(ic)^j}{j!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t} d\omega}{(\omega - a_y)^{j+k}} \right). \quad (20)$$

Denote

$$I_{k,j}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t} d\omega}{(\omega - a_y)^{j+k}}.$$

For  $k = 1$  and  $j = 0$  we obtain (see, e.g., [3, Sect. 8.7])

$$I_{1,0}(t) = 2\pi i H(t) e^{ita_y}$$

where  $H$  is the Heaviside function. For all other cases, that is for  $k = 1$  and  $j = \overline{1, \infty}$  and for  $k = 2$  and  $j = \overline{0, \infty}$  we have that  $j + k \geq 2$  and the integrand in (20) has a pole at the point  $a_y$  of order  $j + k$ . Using a result from the residue theory [4, Sect. 4.3] we obtain

$$I_{k,j}(t) = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}_{a_y} \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega - a_y)^{j+k}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } j + k \geq 2.$$

Consider

$$\operatorname{Res}_{a_y} \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{(\omega - a_y)^{j+k}} = \frac{1}{(j+k-1)!} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow a_y} \frac{\partial^{j+k-1}}{\partial \omega^{j+k-1}} e^{i\omega t} = \frac{(it)^{j+k-1} e^{ia_y t}}{(j+k-1)!} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

and  $j + k \geq 2$ .

For  $t < 0$  we have that  $I_{k,j}(t)$  is equal to the sum of residues with respect to singularities in the lower half-plane  $y < 0$  which is zero because the integrand is analytic there. Thus we obtain

$$I_{k,j}(t) = 2\pi i H(t) \frac{(it)^{j+k-1}}{(j+k-1)!} e^{ia_y t}.$$

Substitution of this result into (20) gives us

$$I_1 = iH(t) e^{ia_y t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ct)^j}{j!j!} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = -H(t) e^{ia_y t} t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ct)^j}{j!(j+1)!}.$$

Now using the series representations of the Bessel functions  $J_0$  and  $J_1$  (see e.g. [14, Chapter 5]) we obtain

$$I_1 = iH(t) e^{ia_y t} J_0 \left( 2\sqrt{ct} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = -H(t) \sqrt{\frac{t}{c}} e^{ia_y t} J_1 \left( 2\sqrt{ct} \right).$$

Substituting these expressions in (19) and then in (18) we arrive at the following expression for  $f$ :

$$f(t, x) = H(t)e^{iat} E(x) \left( -A(x) \sqrt{\frac{t}{c}} J_1(2\sqrt{ct}) + iB(x) J_0(2\sqrt{ct}) \right).$$

Finally we rewrite the obtained fundamental solution of the operator  $M$  in explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) = H(t) \frac{e^{\frac{it}{\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}}}{\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}} & \left( \mathcal{K}_{\frac{1}{\beta}}(x) J_0 \left( \frac{2\sqrt{t|x|}}{\beta(\varepsilon\mu)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{i\Theta_{\frac{1}{\beta}}(x)}{\beta(\varepsilon\mu)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( 1 - \frac{ix}{|x|} \right) \sqrt{\frac{t}{|x|}} J_1 \left( \frac{2\sqrt{t|x|}}{\beta(\varepsilon\mu)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us notice that  $f$  fulfills the causality principle requirement which guarantees that its convolution with the function from the right-hand side of (15) gives us the unique physically meaningful solution of the inhomogeneous Maxwell system (6)-(8) in a whole space.

**Acknowledgement 2** *The authors wish to express their gratitude to CONACYT for the support of this work via the grant Cátedra Patrimonial No. 010286 and a Research Project.*

## References

- [1] Athanasiadis C, Martin P and Stratis I *Electromagnetic scattering by a homogeneous chiral obstacle: boundary integral equations and low-chirality approximations*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **59** (1999), 1745-1762.
- [2] Athanasiadis C, Roach G and Stratis I *A time domain analysis of wave motions in chiral materials*. Math. Nachr. **250** (2003), 3-16.
- [3] Bremermann H *Distributions, Complex Variables, and Fourier Transforms*. Addison-Wesley Publ., 1965.
- [4] Derrick W *Complex Analysis and Applications*. Wadsworth, Inc., 1984.



- [5] Gürlebeck K and Sprössig W *Quaternionic and Clifford Calculus for Physicists and Engineers*. John Wiley & Sons, 1997.
- [6] Imaeda K *A new formulation of classical electrodynamics*. Nuovo Cimento B **32** (1976), 138-162.
- [7] Khmelnytskaya K V, Kravchenko V V and Rabinovich V S *Métodos cuaterniónicos para los problemas de propagación de ondas electromagnéticas producidas por fuentes en movimiento*. Científica: The Mexican Journal of Electromechanical Engineering **5** (2001), 143-146.
- [8] Khmelnytskaya K V, Kravchenko V V and Rabinovich V S *Quaternionic fundamental solutions for electromagnetic scattering problems and application*. Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen **22** (2003), 147-166.
- [9] Kravchenko V V *On the relation between holomorphic biquaternionic functions and time-harmonic electromagnetic fields*. Deposited in UkrINTEI, 29.12.1992, #2073 – Uk – 92, 18 pp. (Russian).
- [10] Kravchenko V V *Applied quaternionic analysis*. Heldermann-Verlag, Research and Exposition in Mathematics Series, v. 28, 2003.
- [11] Lakhtakia A *Beltrami fields in chiral media*. World Scientific, 1994.
- [12] Lindell I V, Sihvola A H, Tretyakov S A and Viitanen A J *Electromagnetic waves in chiral and bi-isotropic media*. Artech House, 1994.
- [13] Shneerson M S *Maxwell's equations and functional-invariant solutions of the wave equation*. Differentialnye Uravneniya **4** (1968), 743-758 (Russian).
- [14] Vladimirov V S *Equations of mathematical physics*. Nauka, 1984 (Russian); Engl. transl. of the first edition: Marcel Dekker, 1971.